[Dkt. Nos. 168 169, 181, 185, 216, 219, 224]

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN VICINAGE

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS, LP, et al.,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-Defendants,

v.

SANDOZ INC.,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS, LP, et al.,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-Defendants,

V.

SAGENT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant/CounterclaimPlaintiff.

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS, LP, et al.,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-Defendants,

v.

GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS INC., USA,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

Consolidated Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-03547 (RMB/KMW)

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon a motion seeking leave to file an amended answer by Defendants Sagent

Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Sagent") and Glenmark Pharmaceuticals

Inc. ("Glenmark"). [Dkt. No. 168.] The Court, having reviewed the parties' submissions, including supplemental briefing on the issue of prejudice,

IT IS HEREBY on this 24th day of May 2016,

ORDERED that, for reasons to be set forth in a forthcoming
Opinion, Defendants Sagent's and Glenmark's motion seeking leave
to file an amended answer is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that, in light of the Court's balancing of the prejudice, to the extent Plaintiffs incur reasonable additional costs—excluding attorney fees—stemming from the need to expedite fact or expert discovery as a result of the amended pleadings, those additional costs of expediting the discovery shall be paid entirely by Defendants Sagent and Glenmark, who shall split the costs equally; and it is further

ORDERED that, for good cause shown, the motions to seal filed in connection with filings pertaining to the briefing of the motion seeking leave to file an amended complaint, [Dkt. Nos. 169, 181, 185, 216, 219, 224], are GRANTED.

s/Renée Marie Bumb RENÉE MARIE BUMB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE